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# 1-Acetyl-3-[1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxopropylidene]-1 H -indol-2(3H)-one: a twinned crystal 
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The crystal of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, which was used for collecting intensity data was twinned. Each of the two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit has a planar indole moiety perpendicular to a planar oxopropyl moiety. The distribution of the bonds at the central C atom joining the oxopropyl, phenyl and indole substituents is also planar. The packing is stabilized by intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions, as well as by dipole-dipole and van der Waals interactions.

## Comment

The compound 1-acetylisatin is of interest owing to its biological activity (Bieck et al., 1993) and use as a synthetic precursor (Popp, 1975), as well as its photoinduced cycloaddition reactions with a wide range of alkenes. These photoinduced reactions of 1 -acetylisatin with alkenes have become one of the synthetic routes to the corresponding spiroxetane derivatives (Xue et al., 2000, 2001; Zhang et al., 2002), in which the asymmetric alkenes give syn- and anticlinal spiroxetanes as a mixture separable by column chromatography. As an extension of our systematic research into these photoinduced reactions, we have carried out the photoinduced reactions of 1-acetylisatin with alkynes. These reactions produce $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds (Xue et al., 2000). The title compound, (I), was obtained from such a photoreaction between 1 -acetylisatin and 1-(p-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne. An X-ray crystal structure analysis was undertaken to elucidate its steric configuration and the results are presented here.

[^0]There are two crystallographically independent molecules, $A$ and $B$, in the asymmetric unit of (I). The bond lengths and angles of molecules $A$ and $B$ agree with each other (Table 1), and are within normal ranges (Allen et al., 1987). It is interesting to note that the torsion angles of the two independent molecules show a tendency to be equal but with opposite signs. This is indicative of pseudo-mirror symmetry between the two isolated molecules, but no pseudosymmetries are observed in the crystal.

(I)

The orientation of the oxopropyl group (atoms O3, C9, C18 and C 19 ) is opposite in the two independent molecules, as shown by the following torsion angles: $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{O} 3$ $96.8(4)^{\circ}$ for molecule $A$ and -91.7 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ for molecule $B$, and $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 19-90.6(4)^{\circ}$ for molecule $A$ and $94.0(5)^{\circ}$ for molecule $B$. The methoxy group (atoms O4 and C20) tends to be coplanar with the attached phenyl ring, as usually observed in the anysoles (Domiano et al., 1979), but the orientation of the methyl group is also opposite, as shown by the $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 20$ and $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 20$ torsion angles of -2.4 (5) and 179.5 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively, in molecule $A$, and 172.0 (3) and $-9.4(5)^{\circ}$, respectively, in molecule $B$.

In both molecules, the indole moiety (atoms C1-C8 and N1) is planar, with the dihedral angle between the planes of the heterocyclic and benzene rings being $1.2(2)^{\circ}$ in molecule $A$ and $1.6(2)^{\circ}$ in molecule $B$. In addition, the plane of the oxopropyl group is almost perpendicular to that of the indole moiety. The phenyl ring makes dihedral angles of 74.5 (1) and $71.4(1)^{\circ}$ with the planes of the oxopropyl and indole substituents, respectively, in molecule $A$; the corresponding angles are 73.7 (1) and $84.3(1)^{\circ}$ in molecule $B$. The distribution of bonds at the central atom, C9, joining the oxopropyl, phenyl and indole substituents, is planar. Since these three substituents are orthogonal, there is a lack of $\pi$-conjugation through the central C 9 atom, in spite of its $s p^{2}$ bonding state.

There is an intramolecular $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ interaction in both molecules $A$ and $B$ (Fig. 1), forming a closed sixmembered ring. This interaction adds to that of the $\pi$-conjugation of the acetyl group, so that this group is coplanar with the indole system.

In the packing of (I), both molecules $A$ and $B$ are linked by two weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds, $\mathrm{C} 20 B-$ $\mathrm{H} 20 E \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{C} 20 B-\mathrm{H} 20 F \cdots \mathrm{O} 4 B^{\mathrm{ii}}$ (Table 2), into molecular ribbons parallel to the $c$ direction (Fig. 2) [symmetry codes: (i) $x+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$ ]. The packing is stabilized by these interactions, as well as by dipole-dipole and van der Waals interactions.


Figure 1
A view of the two independent molecules of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.


Figure 2
The packing structure of (I) viewed down the $b$ axis, showing the formation of molecular ribbons.

## Experimental

Compound (I) was isolated using silica-gel column chromatographic separation of the reaction mixture of 1-acetylisatin with 1-( $p$ -methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from slow evaporation of an acetone-petroleum ether solution.

Crystal data

| $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | $D_{x}=1.320 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=335.35$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1 / 2} / n$ | Cell parameters from 7006 |
| $a=22.1109(4) \AA$ | reflections |
| $b=6.9308(1) \AA$ | $\theta=2.7-28.3^{\circ}$ |
| $c=22.1109(4) \AA$ | $\mu=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=95.128(1)^{\circ}$ | $T=213(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $V=3374.85(10) \AA^{3}$ | Block, yellow |
| $Z=8$ | $0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Data collection

| Siemens SMART CCD area- | $R_{\text {int }}=0.091$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ detector diffractometer | $\theta_{\max }=28.3^{\circ}$ |
| $\omega$ scans | $h=-28 \rightarrow 29$ |
| 20195 measured reflections | $k=-7 \rightarrow 9$ |
| 8083 independent reflections | $l=-29 \rightarrow 25$ |

3756 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.057$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.145$
$S=0.82$
8083 reflections
459 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.091 \\
& \theta_{\max }=28.3^{\circ} \\
& h=-28 \rightarrow 29 \\
& k=-7 \rightarrow 9 \\
& l=-29 \rightarrow 25
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0374 P)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
\text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3
$$

$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.29 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.25 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXTL
Extinction coefficient: 0.0094 (6)

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A$ | $1.421(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B$ | $1.416(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A$ | $1.432(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B$ | $1.433(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 16 A$ | $1.419(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 16 B$ | $1.410(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A$ | $1.341(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B$ | $1.345(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 10 A$ | $1.487(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 10 B$ | $1.484(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 18 A$ | $1.506(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 18 B$ | $1.510(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 10 A$ | $125.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 10 B$ | $126.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 18 A$ | $122.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 18 B$ | $122.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 10 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 18 A$ | $112.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 18 B$ | $112.0(3)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3A-H3A $\cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A$ | 0.93 | 2.29 | $2.839(5)$ | 117 |
| C3B-H3BO1B | 0.93 | 2.29 | $2.841(4)$ | 117 |
| C20B-H20E $\cdots$ O3A $A^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 2.40 | $3.209(5)$ | 141 |
| C20B-H20F $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.96 | 2.47 | $3.319(5)$ | 148 |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$. |  |  |  |  |

The crystal used to collect the present data was twinned. There are two orientational domains, with the $a$ and $c$ lattice parameters interchanged. The origin of the twinning is due to the unit-cell $a$ and $c$ axes being equal in length within experimental error. When these unit cells are stacked to form the crystal structure, some unit cells are stacked one way and the rest are stacked the other way round, giving rise to this unique type of twinning. If the structure is solved in the standard $P 2_{1} / c$ space group, its twinned crystal structure would not have been so obvious. For this type of twinning, the reflections from both twin components overlap with each other. Without introducing the twin law, refinement converges to $R=0.16$ and $w R=0.43$. Introduction of the twin command TWIN (001/0 $0 / 100$ ) in the refinement immediately reduced $w R$ for all reflections and $w R$ for reflections $>$ threshold to 0.145 and 0.122 , respectively, and the refinement proceeded satisfactorily, with $R$ converging to 0.057 . The scale factor, $B A S F$, for the two twin components obtained by leastsquares structure refinement was 0.296 . The H atoms were fixed geometrically and were treated as riding on the parent C atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances in the range $0.93-0.96 \AA$.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).
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